ATCH (Architecture, Theory, Culture, History) Roundtable

The uses and Abuses of History, Then and Now

John Macarthur

Janina Gosseye

Kelly Greenop

Susan Holden

Macarena de la Vega de León

DOI: 10.55939/a5442pxno1

Citation:

Macarthur, John, Janina Gosseye, Kelly Greenop, Susan Holden, and Macarena de la Vega de León. "Atch (Architecture, Theory, Culture, History) Roundtable: The Uses and Abuses of History, Then and Now." In *Proceedings of the Society of Architectural Historians, Australia and New Zealand: 40, Islands*, edited by Ashley Paine and Kirsty Volz, 107-08. Brisbane, Australia: SAHANZ, 2025.

Accepted for publication on 9th June, 2024

Proceedings of the Society of Architectural Historians, Australia and New Zealand (SAHANZ) Volume 40

Confence hosted by the University of Queensland and the Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane 2-4 December 2024

Edited by Ashley Paine and Kirsty Volz

Published in Brisbane by SAHANZ, 2025

ISBN: 978-1-7638772-0-7

Copyright of this volume belongs to SAHANZ; authors retain the copyright of the content of their individual papers. All efforts have been undertaken to ensure authors have secured appropriate permissions to reproduce the images illustrating individual contributions. Interested parties may contact the editors.





Society of Architectural Historians Australia & New Zealand

December 2-4 2024

Brisbane, Australia

ATCH (Architecture, Theory, Culture, History) Roundtable

The Uses and Abuses of History, Then and Now

DOI: 10.55939/a5442pxno1

John Macarthur. The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

Janina Gosseye. Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands

Kelly Greenop. The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

Susan Holden. The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

Macarena de la Vega de León. Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Madrid, Spain, and

The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

The historiography of architecture has for several decades been partly structured by a critique of instrumental history. Writers as different in their aims as Manfredo Tafuri and David Watkin (and many others) faulted the first generation of historians of modernism, particularly Sigfried Giedion and Nikolaus Pevsner, for narrativising the past as a process that would necessarily end in the triumph of modernism. Much metahistory since, going beyond this critique, has shown when and how historical writing has been symbiotic in the wider development of the profession. But now a different kind of instrumentalism is becoming common, if not dominant, in architectural history. We have politically progressive work with the explicit aim of de-colonising and demasculinising the university curriculum as a path to a more ethical profession. In parallel, the emphasis of governments and the university sector on the utility of research means that increasingly, history is becoming an aspect of heritage where academics meet the other-than-scholarly needs of an industry and a social good. Neither of these uses completely converge with the traditional use of history in architecture schools in providing a body of precedents and concepts to trainee practitioners. As the profession itself undergoes transformation, how should we reflect on the uses of history in the past, today, and into the future?